



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/686,273	10/10/2000	Jari Artes	BER-016	5896
7590 03/22/2004		EXAMINER		
Ronald Craig Fish			LEVITAN, DMITRY	
Falk & Fish LI P O Box 2258	_P		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Morgan Hill, CA 95038			2662	10
			DATE MAILED: 03/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		h
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comments	09/686,273	ARTES, JARI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dmitry Levitan	2662
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 10) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· •	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	•
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 October 2000 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e: a) accepted or b) objection is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in App prity documents have been re tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∭ Interview Sum Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) 1ail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5</u> , <u>9</u> .		mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/686,273 Page 2

Art Unit: 2662

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: processor 280. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The specification does not provide sufficient details to enable a skilled in the art to make and use the invention because it does not adequately describe the following:

Regarding claims 1 and 6, how multiple servers or gateways can share a common MAC address; It is understood that each unit or each network device in general has a unique MAC address specifically assign to it, and no two devices can have the same MAC address.

Therefore it cannot be seen how different units can have a shared MAC address.

Application/Control Number: 09/686,273

Art Unit: 2662

The specification does not provide any details on how this is done to enable one skilled in the art to make and use the invention without undue experimentation.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1 and 6, the limitation "the cluster units are configured to be members of an IP multicast group specific to the cluster" is unclear, because meaning of "specific to the cluster" is not understood.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Pitcher

US006370142B1

Method and apparatus for performing per-port IP multicast

pruning.

Backes

US005818838A

Method and apparatus for transparent intermediate system

filtering on a LAN of multicast packets.

Katsube

US005930259A

Packet transmission node device realizing packet transfer

scheme using multiple virtual connections.

Hendel

US005920566A

Routing in a multi-layer distributed network element.

Application/Control Number: 09/686,273

Art Unit: 2662

Tang US006553028B1

Method and apparatus for multicast switching using a

centralized switching engine.

Deng

US006317434B1

Data link layer switch with multicast capability.

Momirov

US006216167B1

Efficient path based forwarding and multicast forwarding.

Jain

US006614787B1

System and method for efficiently handling multicast

packets by aggregating VLAN context.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dmitry Levitan whose telephone number is 703-305-4384. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 703-305-4744. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dmitry Levitan
Patent Examiner

03/16/04. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 4